

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,230	09/682,230 08/08/2001		Ruth E. Rosenholtz	110269	9875
27074	7590	01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ZHOU, TING	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,				2173	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/682,230 ROSENHOLTZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Ting Zhou 2173 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ting Zhou. (3)Steve Catlin. (2) Kevin Nguyen. (4) Kentaro Higuchi. Date of Interview: 24 January 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4. Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent 5,751,287. Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

many Hanner 2173

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner discussed Claims 1 and 4 with the applicant's representatives. The examiner reminded the applicant's representatives that the proposed additions to claim 4 would need to be supported by the specification and suggested that clarification of "arbitrary portion" might help distinguish the claim from the cited prior art of record. Furthermore, the examiner also discussed the interpretation of the language of claim 1 as it applies to the prior of record with the applicant's representatives. The examiner noted the differences of opinion and no agreement was reached. Further consideration of the claims would need to be given when an official response is filed by the applicants.